

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/599,163	06/22/2000	David M. Oliver	005-905-330 7578		
20433	7590 11/19/2004		EXAMINER		
BLODGETT BLODGETT			NGUYEN, NGA B		
43 HIGHLAI WORCESTE	ND STREET CR, MA 016092797		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			3628	3628	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Advisory Action	09/599,163	OLIVER ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nga B. Nguyen	3628	Mul			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply by places the application	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the condition of the c	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriate of the fee. The final of the final the fin	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b) wild be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 35-41.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:		-				
		n				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Ţ

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The evidence submitted is insufficient to overcome the priority date of the patent reference (Teper et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,815,665). The software code appendix was incorporated, by reference and provided with the filing of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/036,236, which included therein date references which were submitted under oath. These date references establish that the computer code was recorded on a "ZIP" disk on April 12, 1996, after the effective date of the cited reference, Teper et al., April 3, 1996.